


<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/666,669	TUAN ET AL.	

<b>All Participants:</b>	<b>Status of Application:</b> _____
(1) <u>Daniel D. Chang</u> .	(3) _____.
(2) <u>Mr. Justin Liu</u> .	(4) _____.
<b>Date of Interview:</b> <u>8 February 2006</u>	<b>Time:</b> <u>13:00</u>
<b>Type of Interview:</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
If Yes, provide a brief description:	
<b>Part I.</b>	
Rejection(s) discussed:	
Claims discussed:	
33-37	
Prior art documents discussed:	
<b>Part II.</b>	
<b>SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:</b>	
<i>It was agreed that claims 33, 34, and 35 will be combined with limitation of the last 2 lines of the amended claim 26 and cancel claims 34, 35, and 37. See Examiner's Amendment for detail.</i>	
<b>Part III.</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)